

REGULATED PRODUCTS SAFETY ASSESSMENT

Safety Assessment on the Safety and Efficacy of an Additive of Zinc Chloride Hydroxide Monohydrate (IntelliBond® Z) as a Feed Additive for All Animal Species (RP814)

Food Standards Agency¹, Food Standards Scotland²

¹ Regulated Products Risk Assessment, Food Standards Agency, UK, ² Risk Assessment, Food Standards Scotland, UK

Keywords: Regulated products, Feed additives, Safety assessment

<https://doi.org/10.46756/001c.121322>

Journal of Food Standards

An application was submitted to the Food Standards Agency in March 2021 from Trouw Nutrition GB ('the applicant') for the renewal and modification of authorisation of an additive (IntelliBond® Z) containing zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate, under the category of 'nutritional additives' and functional group 'compounds of trace elements'. The additive is proposed to be used at a maximum inclusion rate of:

- 200 mg/kg in dogs and cats
- 180 mg/kg in salmonids and milk replacers for calves
- 150 mg/kg in piglets, sows, rabbits and all fish species other than salmonids
- 120 mg/kg in all other species and categories

To support the Food Standards Agency (FSA) and Food Standards Scotland (FSS) in evaluating the dossier, the Advisory Committee on Animal Feedingstuffs (ACAF) were asked to review the dossier and the supplementary information from the applicant.

The FSA/FSS concluded, based on the ACAF's advice, that the additive was correctly identified and characterised and that the changes made to the manufacturing process did not pose an unacceptable risk to safety.

The additive is safe for consumers and the target animal species. The additive is not a skin irritant or dermal sensitiser and is unlikely to form harmful amounts of respirable dust. The additive should be considered a potential eye irritant. The additive poses an acceptable risk to the environment under the proposed conditions for terrestrial species and land-based aquaculture systems. The Committee were unable to conclude on the safety of the additive for marine sediment when used in sea cages.

The ACAF concluded that the proposed minor modifications to the specifications and other provisions would not affect efficacy and therefore efficacy could be extrapolated from the original authorisation to this renewal.

The views of ACAF have been taken into account in the safety assessment which represents the opinion of the FSA and FSS.

1. Introduction

The FSA and FSS have undertaken a safety assessment for a feed additive (IntelliBond® Z, Trouw Nutrition GB, Blenheim House, Blenheim Road, Ashbourne, Derbyshire, DE6 1HA, United Kingdom) containing zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate under Assimilated Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 (EC, 2003), under the category of 'nutritional additives' and functional group 'compounds of trace elements', for its use in all animal species.

To support the safety assessment by FSA and FSS, the ACAF provided advice to the FSA and FSS outlined in this document.

In line with Article 8 of 1831/2003, the assessment has considered whether the feed additive complies with the conditions laid down in Article 5, including: safety considerations for human, animal and environmental health; efficacy of the additive for its intended effect; potential impairment of the distinctive features of animal products. This, and the guidance put in place by EFSA for the evaluation of feed additive applications, has formed the basis and structure for the assessment.

The dossier was evaluated by the ACAF at their February 2023 meeting, after which an environmental specialist was consulted and a request for further information communicated to the applicant. The applicant's response to this request was evaluated at the December 2023 ACAF meeting.

The views of ACAF have been taken into account in this safety assessment which represents the opinion of the FSA/FSS.

Table 1. Table showing products included in this assessment

Title	Product type	Intended use/s	Intended species or categories of animals
Zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate	Feed additive	Nutritional additive	All animal species

2. Assessment

2.1. Section II: Identity, characterisation and conditions of use

The additive is a preparation containing zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate (min. 84% purity, min. 54% zinc), combined with a small amount of starch or other food grade binding agent (ca. 5%). The applicant provided data from five batches supporting the composition values for the active ingredient, outlined below ([Table 2](#)):

Table 2. Identity table of the additive zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate

Composition	
Mineral Composition	
Simonkolleite; $\text{Zn}_5(\text{OH})_8\text{Cl}_2 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})$	92.5 – 94.2%
Zincite; ZnO	5.8 – 7.5%
Sum of mineral species	100%
Additive composition	
Zinc	57.0 – 57.6 %
Moisture	0.2-0.5 %
Purity	93 – 94 %
Binding agent	~ 5 %
Appearance	Off-white/tan crystalline powder
Chemical-physical specifications	
Bulk density	780 – 877 kg/m^3
Particle size	158 – 203 μm
Dusting potential	0.14 – 0.18 g/m^3
Impurities	
Arsenic	< 1 mg/kg
Lead	7.21 – 13.7 mg/kg
Cadmium	0.43 – 2.42 mg/kg
Mercury	< 0.01 mg/kg
Dioxins	0.091 – 0.21 ng/kg
Sum of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBs	0.12 – 0.24 WHO-TEQ (ng/kg)
Non-dioxin-like PCBs	0.42 – 0.44 WHO-TEQ ($\mu\text{g/kg}$)

The Committee reviewed the changes made to the manufacturing process since the original authorisation, noting an increase in the permitted number of fine particles (maximum number of particles of diameter 50 μm or less raised from 1% to 5% w/w) that could impact on respiratory safety if breathed in. Owing to the inclusion of a binding agent and a low dusting potential, the Committee concluded that the increase in fine

particles does not pose a concern to user and worker safety. The additive was demonstrated to be homogeneous in premixtures and complete feedingstuffs.

The proposed conditions of use of the additive are described in [Table 3](#):

Table 3. Proposed conditions of use of zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate

Proposed mode of use in animal nutrition				
Additive		Zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate		
Registration number/ EC No/No		--		
Category(-ies) of additive		3. Nutritional additives		
Functional group(s) of additive		b. Compounds of trace elements		
Description				
Description	Chemical formula	Purity criteria		Method of analysis
Preparation of zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate	Zn ₅ (OH) ₈ Cl ₂ .(H ₂ O)	Min. 84% Min. Zn content 54%		EN ISO 15510:2007
Trade name (if appropriate)			IntelliBond® Z	
Name of the holder of authorisation (if appropriate)			--	
Conditions of use				
Species or category of animal	Min-max Age	Min. content	Max. content	Withdrawal period
		Maximum content of zinc in complete feedingstuffs containing 12% moisture		
Dogs and cats	--	--	200 mg/kg	--
Salmonids and milk replacers for calves	--	--	180 mg/kg	--
Piglets, sows, rabbits and all fish species other than salmonids	--	--	150 mg/kg	--
All other species and categories	--	--	120 mg/kg	--

2.1.1. Conclusions on Section II

The ACAF concluded that the additive was correctly identified and characterised and that the updated manufacturing process does not pose concerns to user safety. The additive was demonstrated to be homogeneous in premixtures and complete feed.

No further concerns were raised for Section II of these dossiers.

2.2. Section III: Safety

2.2.1. Safety for the target species

The Committee evaluated the literature review provided by the applicant and concluded that there are no additional concerns for safety for the target species since the original authorisation.

2.2.2. Safety for the consumer

The Committee evaluated the literature review provided by the applicant and concluded that there are no additional concerns for safety to the consumer since the original authorisation.

2.2.3. Safety for the user

The applicant presented the following tests to evaluate safety of the additive for the user/worker:

- In vitro human three-dimensional model (EPISKIN-SM; OECD TG 439)
- In vitro reconstituted human model (EpiOcular; OECD TG 492)
- Local lymph node assay (OECD TG 429)

Based on the data presented, the ACAF concluded that the additive is not a skin irritant or dermal sensitiser. The additive should be regarded as a potential eye irritant. The data presented on dusting potential indicated that the additive was unlikely to form an unacceptably high amount of respirable dust when handled normally by operators.

2.2.4. Safety for the environment

Safety for the environment was assessed by the Committee who raised concerns over the potential development of antimicrobial resistance with continued use of the additive, and whether the information provided by the applicant was sufficient in determining the concentration of zinc that will enter the environment under the proposed conditions of use. The Committee requested the input of environmental specialist, Dr. Chris Sinclair, who provided a detailed report, suggesting that the applicant should provide a Phase I environmental safety assessment prior to requesting further environmental studies. The Committee communicated this request to the applicant who provided additional information in response. The Committee assessed the applicant's response, determining that the information provided was suitable for assessment with no further environmental studies required. The Committee concluded that the additive poses an acceptable risk to the environment when used under the proposed conditions of use for terrestrial species and in land-based

aquaculture systems. The Committee were unable to conclude on the safety of the additive for marine sediment compartment when used in sea cages.

2.2.5. Conclusions on safety

The ACAF concluded that the additive can be considered safe for the target animal species and the consumer. The additive is not a skin irritant or dermal sensitiser and is unlikely to form harmful amounts of respirable dust. The additive should be considered a potential irritant to the eyes. The additive poses an acceptable risk to the environment under the proposed conditions for terrestrial species and land-based aquaculture systems. The Committee were unable to conclude on the safety of the additive when used in sea cages.

2.3. Section IV: Efficacy

As an application with only minor modifications to the specification, the Committee concluded that efficacy could be extrapolated from the original authorisation and that the additive continues to be considered efficacious under the proposed conditions of use for all animal species.

3. Analytical methods evaluation

Conclusions on the analytical methods are presented here as an extract from the Evaluation Report of the European Union Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives on the Method(s) of the Analysis for zinc chloride hydroxide monohydrate (EURL-FA, 2011):

“For the determination of total zinc in the feed additive, premixtures and feedingstuffs the Applicant submitted the internationally recognised ring trial validated method EN 15510, based on inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). The following performance characteristics were reported: - a relative standard deviation of repeatability (RSDr) ranging from 1.7 to 8.8 %; - a relative standard deviation for reproducibility (RSDR) ranging from 5.0 to 19 %; and - a limit of quantification of 3 mg/kg. The EURL identified an alternative CEN ring-trial validated method (CEN/TS 15621) based on ICP-AES after pressure digestion, for the determination of total zinc in the feed additive, premixtures and feedingstuffs. The total zinc concentration is determined using external calibration or standard addition technique. The following performance characteristics were reported for a feed for pigs, and for sheep, a rock phosphate, a mineral premix and a mineral mix, where the total zinc content ranged from 26.6 to 3618 mg/kg: - RSDr ranging from 1.5 to

5.4 %; - RSDr ranging from 2.7 to 22 %; and - LOQ = 1 mg/kg feedingstuffs. Furthermore, a Community method is available for the determination of total zinc in feedingstuffs, but limited performance characteristics for the method were provided. The UK Food Standards Agency recently reported results of a ring-trial based on the above mentioned Community method, and reported precisions (RSDr and RSDR) for feedingstuffs ranging from 1.0 to 9.5 %. Based on these acceptable method performance characteristics the EURL recommends for official control the CEN methods EN 15510 or CEN/TS 15621 to determine total zinc content by ICP-AES in the feed additive and premixtures. As for the determination of total zinc content in feedingstuffs, the EURL recommends for official control the Community method based on AAS and the above mentioned CEN methods (EN 15510 or CEN/TS 15621). Further testing or validation of the methods to be performed through the consortium of National Reference Laboratories as specified by Article 10 (Commission Regulation (EC) No 378/2005) is not considered necessary.”.

FSA/FSS accepts the EURL analytical method evaluation reports. FSA/FSS determined the analytical method as appropriate for official controls for this feed additive.

4. Conclusions

The ACAF concluded that the additive was correctly characterised and no major cause for concern were identified.

The additive can be considered safe for the consumer and target animal. The additive is not an irritant to the skin and is not a dermal sensitiser. The additive has the potential to be an irritant to the eyes. Owing to the low dusting potential, it is unlikely the additive will form harmful amounts of respirable dust.

The additive poses an acceptable risk to the environment under the proposed conditions of use.

The FSA/FSS agree with the conclusions reached by the ACAF. FSA/FSS accepts the EURL analytical method evaluation reports. FSA/FSS determined the analytical method as appropriate for official controls for this feed additive.

.....

Abbreviations

Acronym	Definition
ACAF	Advisory Committee on Animal Feedingstuffs
EC	European Commission
EU	European Union
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EURL	European Union Reference Laboratory
EURL-FA	European Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives
FSA	Food Standards Agency
FSS	Food Standards Scotland
GB	Great Britain
ICP-AES	Inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy
LOQ	Limit of quantification
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
RSDr	Relative standard deviation of repeatability
RSDR	Relative standard deviation for reproducibility

Acknowledgements

With thanks to the members of the ACAF during the course of the assessment, who were: Professor Nicholas Jonsson, Martin Briggs, Professor Emily Burton, Professor Katrina Campbell, Professor Matthew Fisher, Hannah Kane, Susan MacDonald, Dr. Oonagh Markey, Christine McAlinden, Dr. Donald Morrison, Derek Renshaw, Dr. Michael Salter, Dr. Adam Smith, Dr. Helen Warren and Dr. Nick Wheelhouse. Dr. Helen Warren declared an indirect conflict of interest and remained in the meetings for discussion.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0> and legal code at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

References

- EC (European Commission). (2003). *Regulation No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council on additives for use in animal nutrition*. <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/eur/2003/1831/contents>
- EURL-FA (European Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives). (2011). *Evaluation Report on the Analytical Methods submitted in connection with the Application for Authorisation of a Feed Additive according to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. Tetra-basic zinc chloride (TBZC)*. https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/reports-and-technical-documentation/fad-2011-0007_en